



Akademik Eğitim ve Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi (AJESS Journal)

British Constitutional Evolution, Parliamentary Supremacy, and Post-Brexit Administrative Law

Prof. Dr. Alistair Montgomery, *Faculty of Law, University of Oxford / Faculty of Law, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom.* a.montgomery@law.ox.ac.uk

Article History

Received: 25/07/2026; Accepted: 26/08/2026; Published: 07/09/2026

Abstract

This research paper provides an exhaustive critical inquiry into modern British public law, constitutional doctrine, and administrative adjudication. Focusing on the uncodified constitutional arrangement of the United Kingdom, the principle of Parliamentary Sovereignty, royal prerogative powers, and the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom (UKSC), this study evaluates how British legal institutions navigate post-Brexit regulatory realignment. Additionally, it investigates asymmetric devolution across Scotland, Wales, and Northern Ireland, human rights protection under the Human Rights Act 1998, judicial review boundaries, digital public administration, and international trade governance.

Keywords: into modern British public ; royal prerogative , human rights

1. Introduction: Constitutional Traditions and Common Law Foundations

The constitutional order of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland presents a unique legal paradigm within international jurisprudence, grounded in an uncodified constitutional tradition, historical statutes, and common law principles developed across centuries. Centred around historic legal centers such as the Faculty of Law at the University of Oxford and the University of Cambridge, British public law scholarship has defined fundamental global concepts of procedural fairness, parliamentary oversight, and executive accountability.

Unlike jurisdictions governed by a single entrenched constitutional document, the British constitution derives its force from landmark legal instruments—including Magna Carta (1215), the Bill of Rights (1689), the Act of Settlement (1701), and the Acts of Union—alongside constitutional conventions, judicial precedent, and parliamentary enactments.

This research paper examines contemporary British public law developments, providing a thorough legal analysis of parliamentary sovereignty, executive prerogative oversight, post-Brexit legal realignment, asymmetric devolution, human rights enforcement, digital administrative law, and future constitutional reforms.

2. Parliamentary Sovereignty and Constitutional Adjudication

Parliamentary sovereignty remains the recognized bedrock of British constitutional law, establishing that the UK Parliament possesses the absolute constitutional authority to enact or repeal any law whatsoever, without substantive limitation by domestic judicial bodies. Classic legal theorists, notably A.V. Dicey, formulated this doctrine as a dual assertion: legislative omnipotence and the absence of any competing legal body capable of overriding parliamentary enactments.

However, modern legal scholarship at Oxford and Cambridge critically re-examines parliamentary sovereignty in light of evolving constitutional statutes and judicial jurisprudence. The Supreme Court of the United Kingdom (UKSC) plays an indispensable role in maintaining the balance between parliamentary authority and executive compliance with the rule of law.

In landmark jurisprudence such as *Miller I* (2017) and *Miller II / Cherry* (2019), the Supreme Court articulated clear boundaries surrounding executive prerogative powers, holding that the government cannot use royal prerogative to frustrate parliamentary legislation or prorogue Parliament without reasonable justification.

These judicial determinations reinforce the foundational principle that parliamentary oversight and judicial review act as complementary guarantees of constitutional lawfulness and democratic governance.

3. Royal Prerogative Powers and Executive Accountability

Royal prerogative powers represent the historical residual discretionary authorities vested in the Crown, currently exercised by government ministers on behalf of the Sovereign. These powers encompass crucial sovereign functions, including treaty ratification, foreign affairs, national defense, military deployment, and civil service management.

British public law jurists analyze the progressive subjection of prerogative powers to judicial review and statutory regulation. Since the seminal GCHQ case (1985), British courts have maintained that the exercise of executive prerogative is subject to judicial scrutiny based on standard administrative grounds: legality, procedural propriety, and rationality.

Furthermore, Parliament has increasingly codified or restricted prerogative powers through statutory measures, such as the Fixed-term Parliaments Act 2011 (subsequently repealed by the Dissolution and Calling of Parliament Act 2022).

This legal evolution demonstrates the continuous transition from executive discretion to statutory accountability within the British constitutional framework.

4. Post-Brexit Regulatory Realignment and Assimilated EU Law

The formal withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union marked one of the most substantial legal and regulatory transformations in British legal history. The European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2018 and the Retained EU Law (Revocation and Reform) Act 2023 fundamentally restructured statutory sources of administrative law.

Prior to Brexit, EU law exercised direct effect and supremacy over conflicting domestic legislation under the European Communities Act 1972. Following Brexit, British administrative law scholars focus on the assimilation, amendment, and potential divergence of retained EU law (now termed assimilated law).

Academic inquiries highlight the constitutional implications of statutory instruments (delegated legislation) utilized by ministers to modify assimilated law, assessing parliamentary scrutiny mechanisms, Henry VIII powers, and judicial deference standards.

This regulatory transition enables the UK to establish autonomous statutory regimes across trade, environmental standards, competition law, and intellectual property while maintaining international treaty compliance.

5. Asymmetric Devolution and Territorial Governance

The establishment of devolved legislatures in Scotland (Scottish Parliament), Wales (Senedd Cymru), and Northern Ireland (Northern Ireland Assembly) under the devolution settlements of 1998 created an asymmetric constitutional arrangement within the UK's unitary state.

Legal scholars lead extensive inquiries into legislative competence boundaries, reserved matters, and the operational force of the Sewel Convention—the constitutional rule stating that the UK Parliament will not normally legislate with regard to devolved matters without the consent of devolved legislatures.

The Supreme Court of the United Kingdom serves as the final arbiter of devolution issues through statutory reference procedures, resolving jurisdictional disputes between central UK authorities and devolved administrations.

These legal mechanisms preserve constitutional coherence and intergovernmental cooperation across all four constituent nations of the United Kingdom.

6. Human Rights Protection, Common Law Rights, and Statutory Reform

Human rights protection in the United Kingdom operates through a dual framework: the Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA), which incorporates the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) into domestic law, and the historic jurisprudence of common law fundamental rights.

Under Section 3 of the HRA, courts must interpret primary and subordinate legislation in a manner compatible with Convention rights wherever possible. If compatibility cannot be achieved, higher courts issue a 'Declaration of Incompatibility' under Section 4, preserving parliamentary sovereignty while signaling necessary statutory revision to the legislature.

British jurists analyze discussions surrounding human rights reform, evaluating the interaction between UK domestic courts and the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg.

Concurrently, common law rights—including access to justice, freedom of expression, and personal liberty—provide enduring judicial safeguards independent of international treaty frameworks.

7. Judicial Review Standards and Administrative Justice

Judicial review constitutes the primary legal mechanism through which the High Court of Justice and Supreme Court ensure that public bodies act lawfully, reasonably, and with procedural fairness.

Public law researchers analyze established grounds for judicial review: illegality (*ultra vires*), irrationality (*Wednesbury* unreasonableness), procedural impropriety, and legitimate expectations.

Additionally, the incorporation of proportional scrutiny in human rights cases has enriched British administrative justice, allowing courts to evaluate whether executive restrictions on fundamental freedoms are necessary and proportional.

Legislative developments regarding judicial review procedures continue to balance judicial protection of individual rights with administrative efficiency and executive discretion.

8. Digital Public Administration, AI Governance, and Data Protection

The modernization of British public administration has accelerated through digital governance initiatives, automated administrative decision-making, and open data management systems.

British administrative law researchers examine statutory frameworks governing public sector data processing, including the UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018.

Special attention is directed toward algorithmic transparency, procedural fairness, and human oversight in automated public sector services, such as welfare administration, taxation, and law enforcement.

Legal scholars advocate for statutory standards that guarantee administrative accountability, bias prevention, and judicial review remedies within digital government ecosystems.

9. Future Trajectories in British Constitutional Governance and International Trade

As the United Kingdom establishes independent international trade agreements and navigates global economic transformations, public law research focuses on treaty negotiation transparency, parliamentary scrutiny over international obligations, and regulatory harmonization.

Future constitutional trajectories encompass potential reforms to the House of Lords, intergovernmental relations within the UK, environmental public law, and the protection of constitutional principles in a digital age.

British legal scholarship remains at the forefront of comparative law, offering innovative frameworks that synthesize centuries of common law wisdom with contemporary public administration demands.

10. Conclusion: The British Legal Model in Comparative Public Law

The study of British constitutional law and administrative jurisprudence demonstrates a remarkable synthesis of historic legal principles, pragmatic constitutional adaptability, and robust judicial oversight.

By integrating parliamentary sovereignty, executive accountability, asymmetric devolution, fundamental rights protection, and digital administrative innovation, the British legal paradigm provides vital models for comparative public law.

Ultimately, the United Kingdom's legal system confirms that constitutional stability, democratic rule of law, and institutional legitimacy flourish through continuous judicial independence, parliamentary scrutiny, and unyielding commitment to justice.

References

- Craig, P. (2021). *Administrative Law* (9th ed.). Sweet & Maxwell.
- Dicey, A. V. (1982). *Introduction to the Study of the Law of the Constitution*. Liberty Fund.
- Elliott, M., & Thomas, R. (2022). *Public Law* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Montgomery, A. (2025). Parliamentary Sovereignty, Royal Prerogative, and Judicial Review in Post-Brexit Britain. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 45(4), 310-365.
- Rawlings, R. (2019). *Devolution and the Constitution*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wade, H. W. R., & Forsyth, C. F. (2019). *Administrative Law* (11th ed.). Oxford University Press.